Draft ID: 143b20f3-c45b-4e62-b126-5d53f3ab6c7b

Date: 30/05/2022 15:48:31

Public Consultation on the revision of the Waste Framework Directive (WFD)

Fields marked with * are mandatory.
i leius markeu with are manuatory.

Introduction

The Waste Framework Directive (WFD) lays down fundamental waste prevention and management principles based on the five-step "waste hierarchy". Its key objective is to protect the environment and human health. It provides key definitions as well as minimum rules on separate collection, waste management performance targets for several waste streams and permitting and reporting requirements. The WFD contributes to the EUs overarching objective to decouple waste generation and economic growth. This objective has yet to be achieved; total waste generation is actually increasing. In 2018, the EU produced on average 5 234 kg of waste per capita with the construction and mining sector (60%), manufacturing (10%) and household waste (8%) remaining significant generators of waste. 38% of this waste was recycled. Municipal waste amounts to 496 kg/capita of which 48% was recycled. Bio-waste is the largest component (34 %) and about 60 % of this is food waste.

Furthermore, measures to address specific waste streams such as waste oils and textiles remain fragmented. For example, textile consumption in the EU is high at 12.3 kg/capita in 2018 but it is estimated that less than 1% of all textiles worldwide are recycled into new textiles.

Considering the challenges above, the European Commission is conducting a targeted revision of the WFD. The general objective of the review is to increase the level of protection of the environment and public health from the impacts of waste management. The specific objectives are to reduce waste generation, increase re-use, and improve separate collection to promote preparing for re-use and quality recycling. The revision will also address several review clauses in the Directive linked to waste prevention, including prevention of food waste and lubricant waste oils management. It will be based on an impact assessment of the feasibility and the economic, environmental, and social impacts of different policy options. In line with the European Commission's Better Regulation Guidelines [1] This consultation of stakeholders is conducted to collect additional evidence.

It will take approximately 20 minutes to complete the questionnaire, and you will have the opportunity to upload relevant documents (max size 10 MB) at the end.

For technical questions regarding the questionnaire, please contact: ENV-WASTE-FRAMEWORK-DIRECTIVE-2023-REVIEW@ec.europa.eu

Your opinion matters and we are grateful to you for taking the time to complete this consultation. Note that a factual summary of the responses to this consultation will be published on Have your say and will later be included in a dedicated annex of the impact assessment that will provide an analysis of input received from all consultation activities.

You can find further information on the Waste Framework Directive on DG Environment's dedicate page: <u>background information</u>. Further information on food loss and waste prevention is available <u>here</u>, and on the initiative to propose food waste reduction targets can be found here.

[1] Better Regulation Toolbox (2021). TOOL #52. See: https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/law-making-process/planning-and-proposing-law/better-regulation-why-and-how/better-regulation-guidelines-and-toolbox_en

About you

*Language of my contribution

Business association

Bulgarian	
Croatian	
Czech	
Danish	
Dutch	
English	
Estonian	
Finnish	
French	
German	
Greek	
Hungarian	
Irish	
Italian	
Latvian	
Lithuanian	
Maltese	
Polish	
Portuguese	
Romanian	
Slovak	
Slovenian	
Spanish	
Swedish	
*I am giving my contribution as	
Academic/research institution	
- Academic/research institution	

Company/business organisation
Consumer organisation
EU citizen
Environmental organisation
Non-EU citizen
Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Public authority
Trade union
Other
* First name
Alexis
*Surname
Kuhl
*Email (this won't be published)
alexis.kuhl@europanels.org
*Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
European Panel federation
*Organisation size
Micro (1 to 9 employees)
Small (10 to 49 employees)
Medium (50 to 249 employees)
Large (250 or more)
Transparency register number
255 character(s) maximum
Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u> . It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

572064811767-22

3

Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

	(A)	D''l ''				0 ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
Afghanistan		Djibouti		Libya		Saint Martin
Aland Islands		Dominica		Liechtenstein		Saint Pierre and
						Miquelon
Albania		Dominican		Lithuania		Saint Vincent
		Republic				and the
		_				Grenadines
Algeria		Ecuador		Luxembourg		Samoa
American Samoa		Egypt	0	Macau		San Marino
Andorra		El Salvador		Madagascar		São Tomé and
						Príncipe
Angola		Equatorial Guinea	a	Malawi		Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	0	Eritrea	0	Malaysia	0	Senegal
Antarctica	0	Estonia	0	Maldives	0	Serbia
Antigua and	0	Eswatini	0	Mali	0	Seychelles
Barbuda						
Argentina		Ethiopia		Malta		Sierra Leone
Armenia		Falkland Islands		Marshall Islands		Singapore
Aruba		Faroe Islands		Martinique		Sint Maarten
Australia		Fiji		Mauritania		Slovakia
Austria		Finland		Mauritius		Slovenia
Azerbaijan	0	France		Mayotte		Solomon Islands
Bahamas		French Guiana		Mexico		Somalia
Bahrain		French Polynesia		Micronesia		South Africa
Bangladesh	0	French Southern		Moldova		South Georgia
		and Antarctic				and the South
		Lands				Sandwich
						Islands
Barbados		Gabon		Monaco		South Korea
Belarus		Georgia		Mongolia		South Sudan
Belgium		Germany	0	Montenegro		Spain
Belize	0	Ghana	0	Montserrat		Sri Lanka
Benin	0	Gibraltar		Morocco		Sudan
Bermuda		Greece	0	Mozambique		Suriname

Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar/Burma	a Svalbard and Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire SaintEustatius andSaba	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British IndianOcean Territory	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
British Virgin Islands	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	Heard Island ar McDonald Islan		Togo
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau
Burundi	Hong Kong	NorthernMariana Islands	Tonga
Cambodia	Hungary	North Korea	Trinidad and Tobago
Cameroon	lceland	North Macedonia	
Canada	India	Norway	Turkey
Cape Verde	Indonesia	Oman	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	Iran	Pakistan	Turks and
			Caicos Islands
Central AfricanRepublic	Iraq	Palau	Tuvalu
Chad	Ireland	Palestine	Uganda
Chile	Isle of Man	Panama	Ukraine
China	Israel	Papua New Guinea	United Arab Emirates
Christmas Island	Italy	Paraguay	United Kingdom

0	Clipperton	Jamaica	Peru		United States
0	Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	Philippines		United States
	Islands				Minor Outlying
					Islands
0	Colombia	Jersey	Pitcairn Islands		Uruguay
0	Comoros	Jordan	Poland		US Virgin Islands
0	Congo	Kazakhstan	Portugal		Uzbekistan
0	Cook Islands	Kenya	Puerto Rico		Vanuatu
0	Costa Rica	Kiribati	Qatar		Vatican City
0	Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	Réunion		Venezuela
0	Croatia	Kuwait	Romania		Vietnam
0	Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	Russia		Wallis and
					Futuna
0	Curaçao	Laos	Rwanda		Western Sahara
0	Cyprus	Latvia	Saint Barthélemy		Yemen
0	Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena		Zambia
			Ascension and		
			Tristan da Cunha	l	
0	Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and		Zimbabwe
	Republic of the		Nevis		
	Congo				
	Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia		

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Your opinion on waste related topics

QUESTIONS ON WASTE REDUCTION and PREVENTION

a) Regarding the volumes of wastes generated, please indicate to what extent are you concerned about the following.

	Very concerned	Concerned	Neither concerned nor unconcerned	Not concerned	Not concerned at all
Its impact on the environment	0	•	0	0	0
The cost of managing it	0	•	0	0	0
The amounts of municipal wastes (from households, commerces and offices)	0	•	0	0	0
The amounts of textile wastes	0	•	0	0	0
The amounts of food waste	0	•	0	0	0

b) Concerning waste prevention, to what extent do you agree with the following statements (these questions are intended for individuals or households so please reply in your personal capacity)?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
I know what I can do to prevent waste	0	•	0	0	0
I have the information needed to help me generate less waste	0	•	0	0	0
I take on fewer waste prevention activities than I would like to due to the costs involved	0	0	0	•	0
I take on fewer waste prevention activities than I would like due to shortcomings in relevant infrastructure and services (e.g., proximity of reuse or repair services, effort required)	•	0	•	•	0

c) Please indicate if and how frequently you do the following when you purchase and consume goods (these questions are intended for individuals or households so please reply in your personal capacity).

	Always (if possible)	Often	Sometimes	Never	Not applicable
I take waste generation into account when I buy goods (e.g., repairability, durability, quantity of packaging)	•	0	0	0	0
I plan my food shopping to avoid food waste	•	0	0	0	0
I prefer to buy used goods	0	0	0	0	0
I share or sell my used products for re-use	0	0	0	0	0
I use rentals or shared services (e.g., sharing household tools, bikes, clothing)	0	•	0	0	0
I repair products when they are broken, faulty or worn out to continue their use	0	•	0	0	0
I change the engine oil, gearbox oil, etc. in my vehicle myself and know how to dispose of the waste oil in an environmentally safe way	•	0	•	•	•

d) Waste reduction efforts may be hampered by certain barriers. For each of the statements below, please indicate how important you consider these barriers to be.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Do not know
Prevention measures are not an explicit objective of commercial operations	©	•	0	0	©	0
Consumers are not used to taking prevention measure (e.g., trying to repair a broken item instead of replacing it)	0	•	0	0	0	0
Giving or selling products for re- use is not convenient	0	0	0	•	0	0
Prevention measures are economically unattractive (e.g., repair is too expensive compared to buying a new product)	0	•	0	0	0	0
Legal barriers to waste prevention (e.g., liability, guarantees, re-used items considered waste)	0	•	0	0	0	0
Waste prevention is technically difficult	0	•	0	0	0	0
Ineffective cooperation between key players (e.g., waste collectors and reuse centres)	©	•	0	0	©	0
Loss of convenience for consumers (e.g., possible reduction in choice of products)	©	0	•	0	0	0
Lack of data to monitor and identify the most effective waste prevention actions	0	•	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0

If other, please specify

Text of 25 to 1000 characters will be accepted	

e) To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Do not know
The EU has in place effective measures to prevent waste	0	0	•	•	0	0
My country/local authority has in place effective measures to prevent waste	0	0	•	0	0	0
My employer has in place effective measures to prevent waste generation in the workplace	0	0	•	0	0	0

f) To what extent do you agree with the following statements on possible EU measures to improve waste prevention?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Do not know
I am in favour of more detailed data collection in order to measure the impact of prevention measures	•	0	0	0	0	0
I am in favour of increasing use of economic instruments to prevent waste generation (e.g., "Pay As You Throw" taxes)	0	•	0	0	0	0
I am in favour of legally binding waste generation reduction targets (e.g., a maximum amount of kg of waste per inhabitant per year or a percentage reduction of current waste levels)	0	•	©	©	©	0
I am in favour of legally binding quantitative targets to increase reuse of products	0	0	0	•	0	0
I am in favour of legally binding food waste reduction targets	•	0	0	0	0	0

14		h 0 1 10	aammanta	or infor	matiant	١.	provide i	2022	antar than	m hara
П	VOU	nave	comments	or muor	manon i	()	provide. i	nease	enier iner	n nere.
• •	,		00	0			p. o a. o,	J. J a J	O	

/	ext of 25 to 1000 characters will be accepted	

QUESTIONS ON FOOD WASTE

g) The EU's Farm to Fork Strategy seeks to enable the transition to a sustainable food system that is fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly. It aims in particular to reduce the environmental and climate footprint of the EU food system, to protect citizens' health and ensure the livelihoods of economic operators. Taking action to reduce food waste is critical to achieving sustainable food systems.

Please indicate if you agree that reducing food waste can lead to the following benefits:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Do not know
Help mitigate climate change	•	0	0	0	0	0
Help contain biodiversity loss	•	0	0	0	0	0
Help reduce other environmental impacts (land use, water scarcity, etc.)	•	0	0	0	0	0
Contribute to food security	•	0	0	0	0	0
Reduce costs for food business operators	0	0	•	0	0	0
Bring savings for consumers	0	•	0	0	0	0
Reduce costs for competent authorities (waste management)	0	•	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0

If other, please specify

Text of 25 to 1000 characters will be accepted				

h) Fighting food waste requires action from multiple actors across the food supply chain and beyond. According to you, who needs to take more action to reduce food waste? (please select up to 5 actors from the list below)

at most 5 choice(s)

- producers (farmers, fishers, aquaculture producers)
- food manufacturers
- retailers and other distributors

	hospitality and food services (hotels, restaurants, canteens, etc.)
V	EU institutions
V	national governments
V	regional governments
1	cities, local authorities
	food banks
	other non-governmental organisations
	investors, banks, or financial institutions
	education providers (schools, etc.)
	consumers
	other

i) Food waste reduction initiatives may encounter several challenges. For each of the items listed below, please indicate how important you consider these challenges to be.

	Very important	Important	Not so important	Not important at all	l don't know
Businesses need to make food waste prevention part of their business operations	0	0	•	•	0
Consumers need to adopt new habits in order to reduce food waste (e.g. improved food management skills)	0	•	0	0	0
Ineffective cooperation between key players (e.g. farmers and retailers)	0	•	0	0	0
Consumers' acceptance of possible reduction in food choices (e.g. simpler menu options, less options available at store closure)	0	0	•	0	0
Ensuring sufficient action is taken at the pace needed to reach global commitments (i.e. halve food waste by 2030)	0	•	0	0	0
Ensuring no compromise on food safety	0	•	0	0	0
Lack of evidence and best practice to identify the most effective actions	0	•	0	©	0
Difficulty in collecting data on food waste levels and related impacts	0	•	0	0	0

Difficulty in monitoring compliance with food waste targets	0	•	0	0	0
Costs associated with food waste prevention	0	0	•	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0

If other, please specify

7	Text of 25 to 1000 characters will be accepted						

j) Which measures do you consider to be the most effective in reducing food waste? Please indicate for each measure below, its possible level of impact.

	Very impactful	Moderately impactful	Limited impact	Not impactful at all	Do not know /no opinion
Best-practice sharing	0	•	0	0	0
Measuring food waste to track progress	0	•	0	0	0
Setting food waste reduction targets	•	0	0	0	0
Facilitating donation of surplus food	•	0	0	0	0
Using surplus food and by-products (e. g. for animal feed)	•	0	0	0	0
Improving efficiency along the food supply chain (e.g. better management of supply and demand)	•	0	0	0	0
Packaging innovation (e.g., to extend shelf-life)	0	•	0	0	0
Clearer, more understandable date marking (e.g. "best before" and "use by" dates)	•	0	0	0	0
Consumer-targeted campaigns	0	•	0	0	0
Digital tools and apps (e.g., to facilitate redistribution of surplus food)	•	0	0	0	0
Education and training (citizens, food business operators etc.)	•	0	0	0	0
Public/private partnerships: voluntary agreements of food business operators to reduce food waste in the supply chain	•	0	0	0	0

Fiscal incentives (e.g., corporate tax credits for food donation)	•	0	0	0	0
Other regulatory initiatives	0	0	0	0	0

If other, please specify

Text o	of 25 to 1000 characters wi	ill be accepted		

QUESTIONS ON SEPARATE COLLECTION

In order to facilitate recycling the WFD requires separate collection of waste paper, metal, plastic and glass, and oils. From 2024, this also applies to biowaste and from 2025 for textile waste and hazardous waste fractions produced by households. Under other EU law separate collection is also required for the following household wastes: packaging, batteries, electronic and electric equipment. Some current collection systems allow collection of several waste types in the same container (such as metals, plastic and paper together and cardboard together with beverage cartons).

For the purposes of this questionnaire, all dry waste fractions that are collected separately from residual (non-recycled) waste and biowaste (wet waste) may be considered as separately collected. Food waste is considered to be separately collected if it is collected alone or together with certain other biowaste.

k) Please indicate if you separate products for re-use and waste streams according to the available waste collection services and infrastructure in your municipality (these questions are intended for individuals or households so please reply in your personal capacity).

	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree
I give goods for re-use directly to family and friends	•	©	0
I give goods for re-use through door to door collection or bring back points	•	0	0
I separate all the waste streams that are collected door-to-door	•	0	©
I separate some of the waste streams that are collected door-to-door	0	•	©
I do not separate waste and discard everything as mixed waste	0	0	•
I separate some waste streams that I have to take to a collection centre	•	0	0

I) I participate in the separate collection of the following waste fractions (these questions are intended for individuals or households so please reply in your personal capacity).

	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree
Paper and cardboard	•	0	0
Metal	•	0	0
Plastic	•	0	0
Glass	•	0	0
Food	0	•	0
Garden materials	•	0	0
Cooking oils	•	0	0
Other oils (lubricating and industrial oils such as engine oil, gearbox oil)	•	0	0
Batteries	•	0	0
Electric and electronic equipment	•	0	0
Textiles	0	•	0
Bulky items (e.g., furniture, mattresses)	0	•	0
Hazardous household products (e.g., paints and varnishes, cleaning products, unused medicines)	0	•	0

Please indicate any additional municipal waste fractions collected separately in your local area.

Text of 2	5 to 1000 characters	will be accepted		

m) I am satisfied with the waste collection system in place where I live to separately collect the following waste fractions (these questions are intended for individuals or households so please select not applicable if you are not replying as a citizen).

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l don't know	Not applicable
Paper and cardboard	0	•	0	0	0	0	0
Metal	0	•	0	0	0	0	0
Plastic	0	•	0	0	0	0	0
Glass	0	•	0	0	0	0	0
Food	0	0	0	0	•	0	0
Garden materials	0	0	0	•	0	0	0
Cooking oils	0	•	0	0	0	0	0
Other oils (lubricating and industrial oils such as engine oil, gearbox oil)	0	•	©	0	0	0	0
Batteries	0	•	0	0	0	0	0
Electric and electronic equipment	0	•	0	0	0	0	0
Textiles	0	0	0	0	•	0	0
Bulky items (e.g., furniture, mattresses)	0	0	0	0	•	0	0
Hazardous household products (e.g., paints and varnishes, cleaning products, unused medicines)	0	0	0	0	•	0	0

To what extent do you agree ollection?	e with the	TOIIOWIN	g stateme	ents on sep	parate	
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Do not know
The EU has put in place effective measures to separately collect waste	0	0	0	•	0	0
My country/local authority has put in place effective measures to separately collect waste	0	0	©	•	0	0
My employer has put in place effective measures to separately collect waste in the workplace	0	0	•	0	0	0
dicate which factors you thinl municipal waste (please sele at most 5 choice(s)	ect up to 5	factors	from the	list below)		ction
More information on why	separate	COHECTIC	ni mallers	` ,		
products, contamination,	impact on	the env	vironment))	ollected a	nd
	impact on hat happe	the env	vironment))	ollected a	nd
products, contamination, More information about w	impact on hat happe purpose	the envens to m	vironment ny waste d	once it is c		
products, contamination, More information about we how it can serve a useful. Certainty that all the wast More information on how	impact on that happe purpose te I separa	the envens to mate woul	vironment ny waste d d be prep	once it is c ared for re	e-use or re	ecycle
products, contamination, More information about we how it can serve a useful. Certainty that all the wast. More information on how goes into which bin)	impact on that happed purpose te I separa to separa	the envens to mate would	vironment ny waste o d be prep e for collec	once it is cared for rection (e.g.	e-use or re which wa	ecycle ste
products, contamination, More information about we how it can serve a useful. Certainty that all the wast. More information on how goes into which bin) More information about the	impact on that happed purpose te I separa to separa	the envens to mate would te waste	vironment ny waste o d be prep e for collection metho	once it is cared for rection (e.g.	e-use or re which wa	ecycle ste
products, contamination, More information about we how it can serve a useful. Certainty that all the wast. More information on how goes into which bin) More information about the door, collection points, me	impact on that happed purpose te I separa to separa ne differer obile colle	the enventence to me	vironment) by waste of d be prepleted for collection metholitis)	ared for rection (e.g.	e-use or re which wa use (e.g. c	ecycle ste loor-to
products, contamination, More information about we how it can serve a useful. Certainty that all the wast. More information on how goes into which bin) More information about the	impact on that happed purpose te I separate to separate obile colleabout the I	the enventers to make would the waste state waste section under the collection ocation.	vironment) ny waste of d be preperented for collection method nits) and open	ared for rection (e.g.	e-use or re which wa use (e.g. c	ecycle ste loor-to

■ More convenient locations of mobile collection units (e.g. for hazardous waste)

Any additional comments or information you would like to provide (for example,

More convenient locations of civic amenity sites
More convenient opening hours of mobile collection units, civic amenity sites
Better accessibility of the closest civic amenity site (in terms of access rights)
More space in my household for several different waste bins
Greater frequency of collection for separately collected waste compared to mixed waste
Greater volume of street bins
Street bins' surroundings for separate collection would be cleaner and safer (e. g. free of broken glass, putrefied waste)
Waste containers would be labelled with clear instructions (e.g. pictograms or colours) on the accepted waste
My municipality would reward me for my effort to separate different fractions of waste in different bins through financial incentives (e.g. if discarding mixed waste was more costly than discarding separately collected waste)
Other
f other, please specify
Text of 25 to 1000 characters will be accepted

p) With regard to overcoming challenges and improving separate collection activities, please indicate whether you agree with the following statements

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Do not know
I have information on how to separate waste at home	0	•	0	0	0	0
I have information on where and when to bring my separated waste (e.g. location, opening hours, accepted waste)	0	•	•	0	0	•
Improved information on the products themselves about their composition and how to discard them in separate collection would be helpful	•	•	•	•	©	•

Improved information on waste bins and from waste collection service providers on how to correctly separate waste in different waste containers would be helpful	©	•	•	•	•	•
I am in favour of sorting my waste into more separate bins in my household for door to door collection for an environmental benefit (to increase the efficiency and quality of recycling)	•	•	•	•	•	•
I am in favour of sorting my waste into more separate bins to bring them to collection points outside my home for an environmental benefit (to increase the efficiency and quality of recycling)	©	•	•	•	©	•
I am in favour of sorting my waste into more separate bins at home or bring them to collection points outside my home to reduce my waste bill	0	•	0	0	0	•

REGULATORY AND/OR ECONOMIC INCENTIVES

The WFD encourages Member States to make producers of products financially or organisationally responsible for their end-of-life products, i.e. separate collection and treatment of waste (known as "extended producer responsibility"). At the EU level this already applies to packaging, batteries, electronic equipment, some single-use plastic items, fishing gear and cars.

Member States are encouraged to apply also other economic instruments to create incentives for better waste management. One such measure is 'Pay-as-you-throw' whereby waste producers (e.g., households) are charged for waste management based on the volume and/or type of waste generated. This incentivises separation of recyclable waste and reduction of mixed waste.

q) To what extent do you agree with the following statements on waste management costs?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Do not know
I know how much I pay for waste collection	0	0	0	•	0	0
'Extended producer responsibility' should be applied to more product categories (e.g. textiles)	0	•	0	0	0	0

'Extended producer responsibility' should also cover waste prevention activities	0	•	•	0	0	0
'Extended producer responsibility' should be better enforced for on- line sales	0	•	•	0	0	0
'Pay-as-you-throw' systems should be applied more widely and strictly	0	0	•	0	0	0
Citizens should pay more to discard mixed waste (that is unlikely recycled; is incinerated or landfilled) than to discard separately collected waste	0	•	•	0	0	•

r) Do you agree there are sufficient regulatory and/or market incentives for businesses to invest in the following activities?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Do not know
Prevention of waste generation	0	0	0	•	0	0
Preparing for re-use	0	0	0	0	•	0
Material recovery	0	0	0	•	0	0
Sorting of waste	0	0	0	•	0	0
Separate collection with a view to preparing for re-use and recycling (of own waste)	0	0	0	•	0	0
Decontamination	0	0	0	•	0	0
Recycling	0	0	0	•	0	0

If you want to provide some documentation, please upload it here. [Only one file of max. 10 MB. Only files of the type pdf, txt, doc, docx, odt, rtf are allowed.]

Please upload your file(s)

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Caterina.SAVELLI@ec.europa.eu