Contribution ID: 14ada5c2-f19f-4b68-be47-65a4ca89e1e8

Date: 15/04/2021 13:52:29

# Public consultation on a new EU forest strategy

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

#### Introduction

The Commission's December 2019 <u>Communication on the European Green Deal</u> set out an ambitious vision of the EU becoming a sustainable, climate-neutral economy by 2050. It also announced that, building on the EU's 2030 biodiversity strategy, the Commission would prepare a new EU forest strategy covering the whole forest cycle and promoting the many services that forests provide. The key objectives of the strategy would be effective afforestation and forest preservation and restoration in the EU, to help increase the absorption of CO2, reduce the incidence and extent of forest fires and other risks, and promote the bioeconomy in ways that fully uphold ecological principles and are conducive to biodiversity.

The EU forest strategy will enable the forest sector to contribute to the new Commission priority of building a new growth model through the European Green Deal, including support for rural areas. Many EU policies are relevant to forests, so there is a need for a comprehensive strategy to ensure a consistent approach.

The strategy will also help the EU to meet its international commitments and will form the basis of a clearly established, consistent and holistic approach on forests, allowing stronger EU leadership internationally (in the context of the UN 2030 sustainability agenda, the Paris Agreement, the Convention on Biological Diversity and the Convention to Combat Desertification). The 2019 Communication on Stepping up EU action to protect and restore the world's forests established a framework for the EU's global action; this must be properly and consistently reflected in the formulation of domestic policies.

Through this public consultation, we invite citizens and organisations to contribute to the preparation of the new EU forest strategy and share their views on potential objectives and actions.

The consultation seeks stakeholders' input on challenges and opportunities as regards our forests, in particular in relation to the climate, biodiversity, rural areas and socio-economic welfare, disaster risk management, EU support instruments, forest-based industry, the EU's global leadership and its target of planting 3 billion trees by 2030.

The consultation will focus on EU territory, thereby complementing the results of the Eurobarometer survey on the current role and benefits of the EU's forests and the activities set out in the Communication on Stepping up EU action to protect and restore the world's forests.

Other public consultations are taking place in parallel on 'land use, land-use change and forestry — review of EU rules' and the new EU soil strategy.

### Guidance on the questionnaire

This consultation consists of some introductory questions as regards your profile, followed by a questionnaire in two parts. Please note that you can choose to fill in only the first part and are not obliged to respond to all questions in the questionnaire.

The first part of the questionnaire is aimed at gathering views and information from all citizens and organisations interested in the new forest strategy and European forests.

The second part also targets all citizens and organisations, but contains more detailed questions by area. This part is completely optional and you may choose not to answer it at all or only selected sections.

At the end of the questionnaire, you are invited to provide any additional comments, documents and position papers, expand on relevant issues not covered by the questions and give any general feedback you may have on the survey itself.

#### About you

Bulgarian

Romanian

\*Language of my contribution

Croatian
Czech
Danish
Dutch
English
Estonian
Finnish
French
German
Greek
Hungarian
Irish
Italian
Latvian
Lithuanian
Maltese
Polish
Portuguese

(	Slovak
(	Slovenian
(	Spanish
(	Swedish
*I an	n giving my contribution as
0	Academic/research institution
(	Business association
(	Company/business organisation
(	Consumer organisation
(	EU citizen
(	Environmental organisation
(	Non-EU citizen
(	Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
(	Public authority
(	Trade union
(	Other
* Eiro	at name
FIIS	
	Alexis
*Sur	name
	Kuhl
*Em	ail (this won't be published)
	<u> </u>
	alexis.kuhl@europanels.org
*Org	anisation name
_	anisation name 5 character(s) maximum
_	
25.	European Panel Federation
25.	European Panel Federation  anisation size
25.	European Panel Federation

- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

## Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u>. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

5720	16481	176	7-22

-	$\sim$		•		
*	<i>'</i> ' ' ' ' ' ' '	ntrv.	$\sim$ t	origin	
	<b>1</b> (1) (1)	HIIV	()1		
	<b>-</b>		$\sim$	OIIGII I	

Plea	se add your country of orig	gin, (	or that of your organisation	on.			
0	Afghanistan		Djibouti		Libya		Saint Martin
0	Åland Islands	0	Dominica	0	Liechtenstein	0	Saint Pierre
0							and Miquelon
0	Albania		Dominican		Lithuania		Saint Vincent
			Republic				and the
0	) Almonia	0	Eastern	0	Lamala aa	<u></u>	Grenadines
6	Algeria		Ecuador		Luxembourg		Samoa
0	American		Egypt		Macau		San Marino
	Samoa						
	Andorra		El Salvador		Madagascar		São Tomé and
							Príncipe
(	Angola	0	Equatorial	0	Malawi		Saudi Arabia
			Guinea				
0	Anguilla	0	Eritrea	0	Malaysia	0	Senegal
0	Antarctica		Estonia		Maldives		Serbia
0	Antigua and		Eswatini		Mali		Seychelles
	Barbuda						
0	Argentina		Ethiopia		Malta		Sierra Leone
0	Armenia		Falkland Islands		Marshall		Singapore
					Islands		
0	Aruba		Faroe Islands		Martinique		Sint Maarten
0	Australia		Fiji		Mauritania		Slovakia
0	Austria		Finland		Mauritius		Slovenia
0	Azerbaijan		France		Mayotte		Solomon
							Islands
0	Bahamas		French Guiana		Mexico		Somalia

Bahrain	French Polynesia	Micronesia	South Africa
Bangladesh	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	Moldova	<ul><li>South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands</li></ul>
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar	Svalbard and
		/Burma	Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
<ul><li>Bonaire Saint</li><li>Eustatius and</li><li>Saba</li></ul>	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
<ul><li>Bosnia and Herzegovina</li></ul>	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British Indian Ocean Territory	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
British Virgin Islands	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	Heard Island and McDonald Islands	Niue Niue	Togo
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau
Burundi	Hong Kong	<ul><li>Northern</li><li>Mariana Islands</li></ul>	Tonga

	Tobago
Cameroon Iceland North Macedonia	Tunisia
Canada India Norway	Turkey
Cape Verde Indonesia Oman	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands Iran Pakistan	Turks and
	Caicos Islands
Central African Iraq Palau Republic	Tuvalu
Chad Ireland Palestine	Uganda
Chile Isle of Man Panama	Ukraine
China Israel Papua New	United Arab
Guinea	Emirates
Christmas Italy Paraguay	United
Island	Kingdom
Clipperton Jamaica Peru	United States
Cocos (Keeling) Japan Philippines	United States
Islands	Minor Outlying
	Islands
Colombia Jersey Pitcairn Islar	nds <sup>©</sup> Uruguay
Comoros Jordan Poland	US Virgin
	Islands
Congo Kazakhstan Portugal	Uzbekistan
Cook Islands Kenya Puerto Rico	Vanuatu
Costa Rica Kiribati Qatar	Vatican City
Côte d'Ivoire Kosovo Réunion	Venezuela
Croatia Kuwait Romania	Vietnam
Cuba Kyrgyzstan Russia	Wallis and
	Futuna
Curaçao Laos Rwanda	Western
	Sahara
Cyprus Latvia Saint	Yemen
Barthélemy	

Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena Zambia
		Ascension and
		Tristan da
		Cunha
Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and Zimbabwe
Republic of the		Nevis
Congo		
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia
ne Commission will publish	all contributions to	this public consultation. You can choose whether you
·		

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

\*Please indicate the sector(s) in which you are active as an individual or an organisation:

rga noanom
between 1 and 3 choices
agriculture and/or agricultural commodities
biodiversity and/or environment
climate change
disaster risk management
energy
tree nursery
forestry
hunting
forest-based industry
other industry (e.g. construction)
forest certification
health
tourism tourism
IT and digitalisation
human and/or labour rights
investment and finance
media and communication
urban/or other land planning and development

	other (please specify in the comments box below)
СО	mments

#### \*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

# Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

## Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

# PART 1

Your views on potential objectives and actions of the new EU forest strategy

In its roadmap on the new EU forest strategy, the Commission set out a number of specific potential objectives and actions which the strategy could address. This section aims to obtain quantitative feedback on these objectives and actions, in order to determine public preferences and additional suggestions.

Please rate the relative importance of the following objectives and actions for the new EU forest strategy:

To nurture the forests that we have the new EU forest strategy should ...

	very important	important	slightly important	not important	l don't know
*enhance forest protection and restoration to meet the EU biodiversity and climate objectives, and reduce the loss of forest coverage, while strictly protecting all remaining EU primary and old-growth forests	©	•	•	•	•
*preserve stocks and increase the EU carbon sinks in forests, their soils and harvested wood products	•	0	0	0	©
*enhance prevention of disaster risk events and of damages, and secure forest resilience to incidence and extent of fires and other natural hazards, and secure forest health with a view to changing climatic conditions and environmental degradation	•	•	•	•	•
support restoration of damaged areas and degraded ecosystems, taking into account projected climate conditions	0	•	0	0	©
*ensure the sustainable management of all EU forests, maximising the provision of their multiple functions while enhancing their productive capacity	•	0	0	0	0
other (please specify in the comments box below)	•	0	0	0	0

Safeguard existing climate friendly applications of the EU forests, such as wood-based panels, by ensuring that any harvested resource is made available on a level playing basis free of market distortions created by subsidies (e.g. for bio-energy) while increasing the budget dedicated to forest support.

# To plan for the forests of the future, the new EU forest strategy should foster...

	very important	important	slightly important	not important	l don't know
--	-------------------	-----------	-----------------------	------------------	--------------------

*afforestation and tree planting by setting out a roadmap for planting at least three billion additional trees in the EU by 2030, as announced in the Biodiversity Strategy for 2030, in full respect of ecological principles, contributing to climate neutrality, the circular economy and biodiversity	•	•	•	©	•
*adaptation of forests to climate change and strengthening their resilience to face future challenges, including through enhanced conservation and use of the genetic diversity of trees	•	0	0	©	•
*new training, skills and jobs that reflects the multiple functions of forests	0	•	0	0	0
rural development, including local enterprises and value chains, tapping on forests' multiple functions	0	•	0	0	0
*innovative forest-based services and products with low environmental impact, replacing carbon-intensive counterparts	•	0	0	0	0
*a strong research and innovation agenda to improve our knowledge of forests and to optimise their composition, structure, management and use, including for the bioeconomy	•	0	0	0	0
other (please specify in the comments box below)	•	0	0	0	0

... the increased use of long-lived harvested wood products" showcasing their benefits in tackling climate change and providing value to the forests and also a link to the Renovation Wave Strategy, the New European Bauhaus and the ambition to turn the building stock into a carbon sink.

# To manage existing and new forests, it will be important for the new EU forest strategy to...

	very important	important	slightly important	not important	l don't know
*have a strong and inclusive governance framework engaging all relevant parties	0	•	0	0	0

foster a stronger coordination between national forest policies and the European Green Deal's objectives	0	•	•	0	0
*improve and harmonise the monitoring of forests to demonstrate the effective contribution of sustainably managed forests to the EU objectives, and of the supply and demand of forest services	•	0	0	0	0
*secure financing, including for research, enhancing the use of EU and national budget, as well as private funds, ensuring a consistent approach among different funding instruments (Common Agricultural Policy, Horizon Europe, Cohesion Policy Funds, LIFE, etc.)	•	•	•	•	•
*foster innovative financial incentives, including payments for ecosystem services and result-based schemes ('carbon farming') for forest managers that provide public goods such as carbon sequestration or biodiversity benefits, including through protecting and restoring forests	©	•	•	•	•
*improve communication and dialogues on forests and their roles, considering the rural/urban interface	•	0	0	0	0
*ensure consistency with international commitments, reinforcing EU's international leadership (2030 sustainability agenda, Paris Agreement, Convention on Biological Diversity, and Convention to Combat Desertification, Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk reduction 2015-2030)	©	•	•	•	•
other (please specify in the comments box below)	•	0	0	0	0

The promotion and rewarding of carbon storage in wood products and the substitution of other energy-intensive materials via carbon farming approaches (e.g. using long-lived wood products in the construction sector leads to issuing carbon credits that can be sold on voluntary carbon markets) should be duly accounted and only applied when the tree is cut to encourage carbon storage in long-life harvested wood products such as wood-based panels and the substitution of other energy intensive materials via carbon farming approaches. A carbon credit systems should be introduced with harmonised accounting to reward products that extend the carbon cycle thus encouraging any activity that happens between the cutting of the tree and its eventual burning for energy at the end of its material life.

# PART 2

Optional questions on various forest aspects (you may choose not to answer all of them)

# THREATS AND CHALLENGES FOR EU FORESTS

# Q1 Please rate the following threats and challenges for forests in Europe

	very important	important	slightly important	not important	l don't know
current levels of natural disasters and extreme events (e.g. forest fires, droughts, storms)	•	0	0	0	0
projected risks for forests due to climate change (e.g. natural disasters and extreme events, and slow onset effects such as shifts in bioclimatic zones, precipitation, soil erosion)	•	•	•	•	•
pests and diseases affecting trees	•	0	0	0	0
vulnerability of monospecific plantations and stands	0	•	0	0	0
loss of biodiversity and of high conservation value forests, and ecosystem degradation	0	•	0	0	0
invasive alien species (invasive alien species' means a species introduced outside its natural range that might survive and subsequently reproduce, whose introduction or spread has been found to threaten or adversely impact biodiversity and related ecosystem services)	•	•	•	©	•
forest loss (i.e. deforestation) and forest fragmentation due to infrastructure development, urbanisation, etc.	0	0	•	0	0
lack of, or poor, management planning failing to take account of all services that forests provide	0	•	0	0	0

unsustainable forest management practices (e.g. large-scale clear cuts, harvesting damage, soil compaction, excessive use of pesticides/herbicides)	©	•	•	©	•
illegal logging	0	0	•	0	0
unbalanced local game populations, causing damage to forests	0	•	0	0	0
depopulation of rural areas and forest /land abandonment, leading to a lack of, or poor, forest management	0	•	0	0	0
lack of skilled workforce and relative unattractiveness of working in the forest (e.g. hard work, low income/revenues, limited investments opportunities)	•	0	0	0	0
competing demands on forest resources and ecosystem services (e.g. increasing demands for wood and wood-based products, biodiversity protection, recreation, carbon sequestration, disaster risk reduction)	©	•	•	•	•
other (please specify in the comments box below)	•	0	0	0	0

Subsidies for early energy recovery of woody biomass that create market distortions, emit GhG emissions and increase pressure on forests natural resources that could be better used by preferring material use in line with the waste hierarchy. The lack of public awareness of the climate benefits of using carbon storing /substitution of long-lived harvested wood products when used for construction/renovation, furniture and packaging. Subsidies for early energy recovery of woody biomass that create market distortions, emit GhG emissions and increase pressure on forests' natural resources are threats that should be safeguarded against by preferring material use in line with the EC's cascade use of wood guidelines and the waste hierarchy. The lack of public awareness of the climate benefits of using carbon storing long-lived harvested wood products when used for construction/renovation, furniture, packaging and other applications is an additional threat.

# FORESTS FOR SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC WELFARE IN RURAL AREAS

Many people depend on forests for their livelihoods, and many forest owners/managers, rural communities and farmers get part of their income from forests.

# Q2 What should be done, in your opinion, to ensure that forests continue to provide rural communities with livelihoods and income?

	very important	important	slightly important	not important	l don't know
expand the economic and social opportunities that forests offer to rural communities	0	•	0	0	0
provide financial incentives for forest adaptation to climate change, and for strengthening forest resilience and carbon sequestration	•	0	0	•	©
provide financial incentives for forest biodiversity protection and forest restoration, e.g. payments for ecosystem services [e.g. water cleaning, floods and landslide protection, soil erosion control, cooling of cities]	©	•	•	©	0
support for post-disaster forest recovery	•	0	0	0	0
promote skilled jobs and better training for local populations	0	•	0	0	0
enhance advisory services and support for exchanges of good practice /knowledge and for lifelong learning	0	•	0	0	0
reward the communities that implement sustainable forest management and publicise successful stories (e.g. through logos, prizes)	0	•	•	0	©
support the local and sustainable sourcing of forest wood and non-wood raw materials (e.g. cork)	•	0	0	0	0
expand opportunities for income creation through non-wood forest products and services  [e.g. mushrooms, berries, games, cultural services focusing on leisure, health, recreation, education, spiritual well-being]	©	•	•	0	0
support innovative and local forest cooperatives, SMEs and industries (fostering access to markets and value chains with wood and non-wood added-value products and services)	•	0	•	0	•

foster and promote cooperation and knowledge exchange among forest owners	•	0	0	©	0
support the digitalisation of forest management and use (including planning, implementation, monitoring and evaluation)	•	0	0	0	0
invest in infrastructure to support sustainable forest management, disaster prevention and management, and forest protection, and exploit the economic and social opportunities of forests for rural areas	•	•	•	©	•
encourage dialogue between different stakeholders on forest issues	•	0	0	0	0
other (please specify in the comments box below)	•	0	0	0	0

Fostering the competitiveness of industries located in rural areas and increasing their markets by stimulating an increased use of long-lived harvest wood products.

#### FORESTS FOR CLIMATE AND BIODIVERSITY

While the EU's forests are very important for biodiversity conservation and mitigating climate change through carbon sequestration, climate change is also putting them under increasing pressure. They must be managed sustainably, improved in terms of both quality and quantity, and proactively adapted to projected climate change, in order to make an effective contribution to achieving the EU's climate and biodiversity objectives.

Q3 What specific actions and measures should the EU forest strategy promote to enhance forest biodiversity, adapt forests to climate change, and strengthen carbon sequestration?

	very important	important	slightly important	not important	l don't know
increase the EU forest area through afforestation and reforestation taking into account ecological and climate change related aspects	•	0	0	0	0

help individual forest owners and managers to identify and manage their climate change related risks, including financial risks	0	•	0	©	0
restore damaged and degraded forests	0	•	0	0	0
increase the area of forests protected for biodiversity conservation and restoration	0	0	•	0	0
manage protected forests more effectively, so that they achieve their nature conservation objectives	©	0	•	0	0
increase the proportion of diverse, uneven-aged and mixed-species forests	0	•	0	0	0
enhance the genetic diversity of forests and trees	0	•	0	0	0
give preference to native tree species and provenances and/or species that are better suited to future climatic conditions	0	•	0	0	0
protect forest soils and prevent soil degradation, in particular on carbon-rich soils [e.g. limit machinery use in harvesting operations, establish different skidding tracks, maintain forest cover on erosion-prone soils and run-off pathways, leave harvesting residues on site, avoid whole- tree harvesting]	©	•	©	©	©
increase the use of biodiversity-friendly forestry practices [e.g. increased deadwood, habitat trees, reduce the use of pesticides and fertilisers]	0	•	0	0	0
promote long-term wood products and uses, replacing more carbon- intensive materials [e.g. construction, renovation, consumer products]	•	0	0	0	0
take measures to align wood demand and consumption with forests' sustainable production capacity	•	0	0	0	0
provide foresters and other stakeholders with better advice, information, tools and applications for forest protection, restoration and adaptation	0	•	0	0	0

improve forest monitoring at local level to improve the tracking of carbon stocks and biodiversity	0	•	0	0	0
boost research and innovation in best practices for enhancing carbon sequestration, adaptation and forest biodiversity	•	0	0	0	•
other (please specify in the comments box below)	•	0	0	0	0

Managed plantations can also be beneficial to biodiversity, particularly in degraded landscapes and in areas outside forests and can positively influence the nutrient soil cycle. They also contribute to fight greenhouse effect by capturing CO2 which will not return immediately to the atmosphere since the wood will be used to manufacture products with a relatively long-life use, which, at the end of their life, will be recycled avoiding the CO2 to return to the atmosphere. Additionally, productivity (in terms of wood supply) is higher in planted forests than in natural forests.

#### **EU/NATIONAL SUPPORT AND INSTRUMENTS**

# Q4 What should be done to facilitate access to, and improve the use of, EU and national funds for forest management and forest-related activities?

e.g. agriculture and rural development, research, LIFE, cohesion and regional development policy, Invest EU, Recovery and Resilience Facility, Union Civil Protection Mechanism Grants etc.

	very important	important	slightly important	not important	l don't know
reduce administrative burden and relax the conditions for accessing funds	•	0	0	0	0
promote funding opportunities more proactively in order to raise awareness	0	•	0	0	0
help local and regional actors with their funding applications	0	•	0	0	0
national or regional authorities should prioritise forests in budget allocation and expenditure in rural development programmes, cohesion policy funding, Invest EU, etc.	•	0	0	0	0
make sure that funding options match the needs of forests and the forest sector, and allow these to be addressed locally	0	•	0	0	0

raise the EU co-funding rates for forest projects and measuresv	©	0	•	©	0
introduce more possibilities for Member States to use State aid for forestry	0	0	•	0	0
develop new financial instruments for forests	0	•	0	0	0
other (please specify in the comments box below)	•	0	0	0	0

The promotion and rewarding of carbon storage in wood products and the substitution of other energy-intensive materials via carbon farming approaches (e.g. using long-lived wood products in the construction sector leads to issuing carbon credits that can be sold on voluntary carbon markets) should be duly accounted and only applied when the tree is cut. Carbon storage in long-life harvested wood products such as wood-based panels and the substitution of other energy intensive materials via carbon farming approaches should be encouraged. A carbon credit systems should be introduced with harmonised accounting to reward products that extend the carbon cycle thus encouraging any activity that happens between the cutting of the tree and its eventual burning for energy at the end of its material life.

# FOREST-RELATED CHALLENGES FACING FOREST-BASED INDUSTRIES

Q5 What are the main forest-related challenges facing the forest-based industry sector in your country and/or the EU today?

	very challenging	challenging	slightly challenging	not challenging	l don't know
lack of skilled labour force	0	•	0	0	0
unclear forest policy objectives and regulatory framework	0	•	0	0	0
uncertain availability of wood resources	•	0	0	0	0
competition between bioenergy and other wood uses for biomass	•	0	0	0	0
lack of information on effective standards guaranteeing sustainable sourcing	0	0	•	0	0
lack of price premium for sustainable products	0	0	•	0	0

matching of value chains' needs to available forest resources in the EU [e.g. small properties; irregular supplies; diversity of species, qualities and dimensions; diverse stands; proliferation of hardwoods in some countries]	©	©	•	©	•
lack of clear, comparable and comprehensive information on the state of EU forest resources and current trends	•	•	•	•	0
other (please specify in the comments box below)	•	0	0	0	0

Subsidies for early energy recovery from biomass challenge the availability for raw materials for other existing climate positive industries, such as the wood-based panels industry, who manufacture long-lived carbon storing harvested wood products.

# PROMOTION OF WOOD PRODUCTS

Q6 What could be done to promote the wider use of sustainable wood-based products and boost their recycling rates?

	very important	important	slightly important	not important	l don't know
support consumer choices by providing better information on the environmental footprint of wood products compared to non-wood alternatives	•	0	0	0	•
improve the exchange of best practices and promote training on sustainable uses of wood products (e.g. for architects)	•	0	0	0	0
support research on new and innovative wood-based products	•	0	0	0	0
improve communication methods and demonstrate sustainable forest management in the provision of raw materials for wood-based products	•	0	0	0	0

quantify the economic value of the contribution of wood to carbon sequestration, e.g. through carbon removal certificates	•	•	•	•	0
promote the use of local and sustainable wood products in public contracts	•	0	0	0	0
promote investment in improved designs of wood-based products that allow easier recycling and re-use	•	0	0	0	0
incentivise the use of recycled material in wood-based products	•	0	0	0	0
promote sustainable wood products by changing the rules on accounting for their carbon storage capacity in national climate targets	•	0	0	0	•
other (please specify in the comments box below)	•	0	0	0	0

LCA information, based on harmonised carbon accounting, would help educated purchase decisions. Moreover, recycling rates of wood could be increased by stimulating and facilitating separate collection of wood wastes and by increasing the targets for wood recycling. Furthermore, counterproductive regulations that discriminate certain wood products for their emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOC) such as the German AGB scheme in the MVV TB regulation should be avoided, since it has been scientifically proven that VOC emissions from wood and wood products have no harmful effects on humans. Finally, concepts like "R value" and "TVOC" have not toxicological basis and should therefore not be used in regulations.

### **CERTIFICATION AND LABELLING**

There are several certification schemes and labelling schemes in the EU for the forest-based products. We would like to gauge how well-known they are and how useful they are in supporting consumer or business decisions.

[e.g. certification schemes FSC, PEFC and labelling shemes EU ecolabels and Eco-Management and Audit Scheme]

Q7 To what extent do you know of/use tools that certify the sustainability of forest products (forest certification, product labelling)?

at most 1 choice(s)

- I don't know of any labelling or certifying systems for forest-based products
- I do not trust the existing labelling and certification systems and I would like that the EU does something about it



I always buy certified wood-based products with labels demonstrating they
come from sustainable sources
I buy labelled/certified products if there is not a significant price difference
vis-à-vis non-certified products
certification/labelling is sometimes an important criterion driving my decision
to buy a product
In my purchasing decisions, I am more likely to trust certified products from
EU forests
comments

### FOREST INFORMATION AND MONITORING AT EU LEVEL

In view of the multiple services that forests provide, the numerous sectors that rely on forests and the threats to forests that are exacerbated by climate change, the 2030 biodiversity strategy refers to 'the need for a better picture of the health of European forests'. In this regard, there are plans to develop the <a href="Forest">Forest</a> <a href="Information System for Europe (FISE)</a>.

# Q 8 What should be done to improve forest data, knowledge and monitoring of EU forests?

very important	important	slightly important	not important	l don't know
•	0	0	0	0
•	0	0	0	0
•	0	0	0	0
•	0	0	0	0
0	•	0	0	0
	important	important important	important important important	important important important important

produce regular short analyses on key subjects (e.g. resilience of specific types of tree, results of key scientific articles, use of forest biomass resources)	•	•	•	•	•
prepare more in-depth assessments of forest ecosystem services	0	•	0	0	0
make better use of <u>Copernicus data</u> to monitor EU forests (e.g. by diversifying and making more regular forest products available)	•	0	0	•	0
further work on the harmonisation of national forest inventories	0	•	0	0	0
facilitate the integration of remote-sensing data with forest inventories and other field assessments	•	0	0	0	0
improve the governance of FISE and involve Member State experts and other stakeholders in future developments	•	0	0	0	0
other (please specify in the comments box below)	0	0	0	0	0

comments
----------

r	
- 1	

# ENSURING CONSISTENCY WITH INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS AND SHOWING GLOBAL LEADERSHIP

The future forest strategy will help the EU to meet its international commitments and will form the basis of a clearly established, consistent and holistic approach on forests, allowing stronger EU leadership internationally (in the context of the 2030 sustainability agenda, the Paris Agreement, the Convention on Biological Diversity and the Convention to Combat Desertification). The 2019 Communication on Stepping up EU action to protect and restore the world's forests (2019) established a framework for the EU's global action; this must be properly and consistently reflected in the formulation of domestic policies.

# Q 9 In order to ensure consistency with international commitments and to support the EU's international leadership, the new EU forest strategy should ...

	very important	important	slightly important	not important	l don't know
in relevant fora, set out the EU's positions, approaches and values in					

favour of sustainable forest management worldwide	©	•	©	©	0
promote experience and lessons learnt at EU level	0	•	0	©	0
ensure consistency between the EU's domestic policies and trade agreements	•	0	0	0	0
ensure consistency between EU development/international cooperation and the EU's neighbourhood policy	•	0	0	0	0
strengthen international cooperation to implement the UN 2017-2030 strategic plan for forests	0	•	•	0	0
other (please specify in the comments box below)	•	0	0	0	0

The Commission should include the situation of the management of forests in 3rd countries as a as an important consideration in its trade defense measures in order to protect EU industries from unfair competition (e.g. Okoumé plywood anti-dumping measures against China).

# PLANTING AT LEAST 3 BILLION ADDITIONAL TREES IN THE EU BY 2030

Trees are the source of multiple benefits – providing clean air, absorbing CO2 from the atmosphere, providing habitats and supporting our economy, livelihoods and physical and mental well-being. The new forest strategy will include a roadmap for planting at least 3 billion additional trees in the EU by 2030.

#### Q 10 Where should the 3 billion+ additional trees be planted?

To where should the o billion+ additional frees be planted:
at most 4 choice(s)
afforestation of productive agricultural land
afforestation of degraded land
[e.g. areas subject to erosion and landslides; areas at risk of desertification
areas deforested and/or overused in the past; contaminated industrial or
mining sites; other degraded land]
tree planting in upper water catchments to delay and reduce downstream
flooding
tree planting on grasslands (except for high nature-value grasslands)
tree planting in (peri-)urban areas

tree planting as landscape features to foster connectivity (hedges, rows of
trees, copses, etc.)
tree planting along infrastructure corridors (roads, waterways)
tree planting as part of forest restoration
other (please specify in the comments box below)
comments

# Q 11 What are the main challenges in planting additional trees in your country?

	very challenging	challenging	slightly challenging	not challenging	l don't know
finding appropriate spaces in urban and peri-urban areas	0	•	0	0	0
finding appropriate spaces in rural areas	0	•	0	0	0
capacity of tree nurseries to produce and provide the required materials	0	0	0	0	•
lack of skilled workforce	0	0	0	•	0
lack of administrative and support /advisory services	0	0	0	0	•
ensuring plant health and genetic diversity in nurseries	0	0	0	0	•
forecasting future climate conditions and matching tree species/genotypes	0	0	0	0	•
barriers to the transnational production and transfer of forest reproductive material (in particular for climate change adaptation)	0	•	©	©	•
financial resources for planting and maintenance in (peri-)urban areas	0	0	0	0	•
financial resources for planting and maintenance in rural areas	0	•	0	0	0

loss of farmland value after conversion to forest land (i.e. opportunity costs)	©	0	©	•	0
local acceptance and/or administrative procedures	0	•	0	0	0
ensuring that land remains planted with trees for a long period	0	0	•	0	0
unfavourable climatic conditions (e.g. water scarcity)	0	0	0	•	0
other (please specify in the comments box below)	0	0	0	0	0

CO	n	٦r	n	eı	nt	S

# Q 12 How could the EU encourage the wider use of forests for the health and well-being of all?

	very important	important	slightly important	not important	l don't know
encourage greater uptake of funds for activities promoting health and well-being (e.g. eco-tourism, improved access to urban and peri-urban forests, recreation, etc.)	•	•	•	•	•
raise awareness of the health benefits of forests	0	•	0	0	0
promote more research on forests and associated health benefits	0	0	•	0	0
encourage forest-related educational opportunities	•	0	0	0	0
promote the exchange of best practices and other communication efforts on the multiple roles of forests	•	0	0	0	0
facilitate public access to all types of forests	0	0	0	•	0
other (please specify in the comments box below)	0	0	0	0	0

$\sim$	m	$\sim$	0	+~
CO	Ш	Ш	еι	แร

According to many recent studies, the use of harvested wood products and and nature-based solutions in the built environment has many benefits for health and well-being. The benefits of such materials and solutions should be considered as a genuine added value especially in the framework of the New European Bauhaus holistic approach.

Q13 Increasingly, consumers can compensate for the CO2 emissions associated with their purchases (e.g. flights, concerts, etc.) by paying a supplement that will be used by a private undertaking to plant trees in the EU or elsewhere. Have you ever done this?

only one answer allowed

- Yes, I have often compensated some of my greenhouse gas (GHG) impacts with tree planting schemes
- Yes, I have occasionally compensated some of my GHG impacts with tree planting schemes
- No, but I'm considering it
- No, because I don't believe that the trees will be planted
- No, because I don't believe that the trees will be monitored over a sufficiently long period
- No, I haven't

cor	nments					
						_

# ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK

Should you wish to provide additional information (e.g. a position paper) or raise specific points not covered by the questionnaire, you can upload additional documents here.

Please note that any uploaded documents will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire, which is the main input to this consultation. The document is optional and serves as additional background to help us understand your position.

ad	dditional information and comments	

### please upload your additional documents

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

33eb2553-255b-4d88-8116-bd77ecab60e3 /EPF\_Position\_Paper\_on\_European\_Plywood\_Industry\_and\_Climate\_Change.pdf 31de79ed-ea7f-46f8-aa1d-87eb4a80e7fe/Presentation\_EPF\_2009\_for\_2020\_Prof.\_Joris\_Van\_Acker.pdf

#### Contact

**Contact Form**